Thermoelectric response of strongly correlated multilayers

J. K. Freericks

Department of Physics, Georgetown University, Washington, DC 20057

Funded by the National Science Foundation

Collaborators: Ling Chen, Hulikal Krishnamurthy, Thomas Pruschke, Hand Zenia, Veljko Zlatic

J. K. Freericks, Georgetown University, Hvar Nato Workshop talk, 2008
Graduate-level text published by Imperial College Press

J. K. Freericks, Georgetown University, Hvar Nato Workshop talk, 2008
Multilayered nanostructures as devices

- Sandwich of metal-barrier-metal with current moving perpendicular to the planes
- Nonlinear current-voltage characteristics
- Josephson junctions, diodes, thermoelectric coolers, spintronic devices, etc.
- Band insulators: AlO\textsubscript{x} MgO
- Correlated materials: FeSi, SrTiO\textsubscript{3} and other oxides.
- Near MIT: V\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, Ta\textsubscript{x}N
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Theoretical Approaches (charge transport)

- Ohm’s law: $R_n = \rho L / A$, holds for bulk materials
- Landauer approach: calculate resistance by determining the reflection and transmission coefficients for quasiparticles moving through the inhomogeneous device ($R_n = h / 2e^2 x [1-T] / T$)
  - Works well for ballistic metals, diffusive metals, and infinitesimally thin tunnel barriers (“delta function potentials”).
  - Real tunnel barriers have a finite thickness---the quasiparticle picture breaks down inside the insulating barrier; not clear that Landauer approach still holds.
- As the barrier thickness approaches the bulk limit, the transport crosses over to being thermally activated in an insulator and is no longer governed by tunneling.
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Need a theory that can incorporate all forms of transport (ballistic, diffusive, incoherent, and strongly correlated) on an equal footing.

A self-consistent recursive Green’s function approach called inhomogeneous dynamical mean field theory (developed by Potthoff and Nolting) can treat all of these different kinds of transport.
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Our model

The metallic leads can be ballistic normal metals, mean-field theory ferromagnets, or BCS superconductors.

Scattering in the barrier is included via charge scattering with “defects” (as in the Falicov-Kimball model) or via the Coulomb interaction (as in the Hubbard model).

Scattering can also be included in the leads if desired, but we don’t do so here for simplicity.
Spinless Falicov-Kimball Model and Hubbard Model

\[ H = -\frac{t}{2\sqrt{d}} \sum_{<i,j>} c_i^\dagger c_j + E \sum_i w_i + U \sum_i c_i^\dagger c_i w_i \]

- exactly solvable model in the local approximation using dynamical mean field theory.
- possesses homogeneous, commensurate/incommensurate CDW and SDW phases, phase segregation, and metal-insulator transitions.
- A self-consistent recursive Green’s function approach solves the inhomogeneous many-body problem (Potthoff-Nolting algorithm).
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For the Hubbard model, the red spins also move.
Computational Algorithm

- Self-energy on each plane
- IDMFT
- Effective Medium
- Quasi 1D model (quantum zipper algorithm)
- Planar Green’s functions
- Sum over planar momenta
- Dyson’s equation
- Local Green’s function

Algorithm is iterated until a self-consistent solution is achieved

J. K. Freericks, Georgetown University, Hvar Nato Workshop talk, 2008
Half-filling and the particle-hole symmetric metal-insulator transition ...
The Falicov-Kimball model has a metal-insulator transition that occurs as the correlation energy $U$ is increased. The bulk interacting DOS shows that a pseudogap phase first develops followed by the opening of a true gap above $U=2\sqrt{6} \approx 4.9$ (in the bulk). Note: the FK model is not a Fermi liquid in its metallic state since the lifetime of excitations is finite.
Near the MIT (U=6)

If we take $t=0.25\text{eV}$, then $W=3\text{eV}$, and the gap size is about $100\text{meV}$.

This is a correlated insulator with a small gap, close to the MIT.
L=a (Single plane barrier, FK model)

Local DOS on the central barrier plane. Note how the upper and lower Hubbard bands form for the Mott transition, but there is always substantial subgap DOS from the localized barrier states. This DOS arises from quantum-mechanical tunneling and has a metallic shape (i.e. a peak at the center).
L=a (Single plane barrier, Hubbard model)

Local DOS on the central barrier plane. Note the similarity with the results for the FK model, except for the coherence peak forming at the lowest energies. FL theory says the coherence peak will be present for all U values at T=0 (these calculations are for room temperature with T=0.01).

We will return to this point later.
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U=6 (small-gap insulator) DOS
Friedel oscillations induced by interface
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U=6 Correlated insulator

DOS has exponential tails, but never vanishes in the “gap”; the exponential decay has the same characteristic length for all barrier thicknesses and is equal to $1/\ln[\text{residue}(U)]$ relating to the residue of the pole in the self-energy of the bulk system in the Mott phase (it is 0.62$a$ for U=6).
Charge transport and the generalized Thouless energy …
Junction resistance

• The linear-response resistance can be calculated in equilibrium using a Kubo-Greenwood approach (here we neglect vertex corrections).

• We must work in real space because there is no translational symmetry.

• $R_n$ is calculated by inverting the isothermal conductivity matrix and summing all matrix elements of the inverse.
Junction resistance (derivation)

- Maxwell’s equation gives $j_\alpha = \sum_\beta \sigma_{\alpha\beta} E_\beta$ where the index denotes a plane in the layered device. (The field at plane $\beta$ causes a current at plane $\alpha$.)

- Taking the matrix inverse gives $E_\alpha = \sum_\beta \sigma^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} j_\beta$; but the current is conserved, so $j$ does not depend on the planar index $\beta$.

- Calculating the voltage gives $V = a \sum_\alpha E_\alpha = a \sum_\alpha \sigma^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} j_\beta$, so the resistance-area product is $R_n A = a \sum_\alpha \sigma^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}$
Resistance versus resistivity

Tunneling

Ohm’s law

Log $\rho_n$ (bulk) [T~30K]

Interaction strength $U$

Ioffe–Regel Limit

Metal–insulator transition
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Resistance for U=6 (correlated insulator)

Resistance here shows the tunneling plateaus clearly, and a strong temperature dependence in the incoherent regime.

J. K. Freericks, Georgetown University, Hvar Nato Workshop talk, 2008
Thouless energy

• The **Thouless energy** measures the quantum energy associated with the time that an electron spends inside the barrier region of width L (Energy extracted from the resistance).

\[ E_{Th} = \frac{\hbar}{t_{Dwell}} \]

• A **unifying form** for the Thouless energy can be determined from the resistance of the barrier region and the electronic density of states:

\[ E_{Th} = \frac{\hbar}{2e^2 \int d\omega N(\omega) \frac{-df(\omega)}{d\omega} R_N AL} \]

• This form produces both the **ballistic** \( E_{Th} = \hbar v_F^N / \pi L \) and the **diffusive** \( E_{Th} = \hbar D / L^2 \) forms of the Thouless energy.
Thouless energy II

• The resistance can be considered as the ratio of the Thouless energy to the quantum-mechanical level spacing $\Delta_E$ (with $R_Q=h/2e^2$ the quantum unit of resistance)

$$R_n = R_Q \frac{\Delta_E}{2\pi E_{Th}}$$

• The inverse of the level spacing is related to the density of states of the barrier via

$$\Delta_{E}^{-1} = VN(\mu)$$

• Generalizing the above relation to an insulator by

$$\Delta_{E}^{-1} = AL \int d\omega N(\omega) \left[ -\frac{df(\omega)}{d\omega} \right]$$

gives the general form for the Thouless energy.
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Temperature dependence

The Thouless energy determines the transition from tunneling to incoherent transport as a function of temperature!

Note that the crossover temperature is not simply related to the energy gap!
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Vertex corrections …
In the Falicov-Kimball model, the irreducible charge vertex for dynamical charge responses is known

\[ \Gamma_{\alpha}^{irred}(i\omega_m, i\omega_n; i\nu_l) = \delta_{mn} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\Sigma_{\alpha}(i\omega_m) - \Sigma_{\alpha}(i\omega_{m+l})}{G_{\alpha}(i\omega_m) - G_{\alpha}(i\omega_{m+l})} \]

Hence one can solve for the isothermal conductivity matrix directly by solving the relevant Bethe-Salpeter-like equations.
Schematic picture for the polarization

- The polarization response can be represented pictorially in terms of the reducible charge vertex matrix.

\[
\Gamma_{\gamma'}^{\text{red}} = \Gamma_{\gamma}^{\text{irred}} \left[ 1 + \sum_{k^\parallel} G_{\alpha\beta}(k^\parallel, i\omega_m)G_{\beta\alpha}(k^\parallel, i\omega_{m+l}) \right]
\]

\[
\times \frac{\Sigma_{\beta}(i\omega_m) - \Sigma_{\beta}(i\omega_{m+l})}{G_{\beta}(i\omega_m) - G_{\beta}(i\omega_{m+l})} \right]^{-1}_{\gamma\gamma'}
\]
Finishing the calculation

- One needs to analytically continue the result from the imaginary to the real-frequency axis. This is straightforward to do if we assume the matrix in the reducible charge vertex does not introduce any poles in the UHP.
- Of course the matrix needs to be truncated to a finite size, but we include all correlated planes and the region around the interface to pick up the most important terms.
- We expect to have actual results from these calculations soon…
Particle-hole asymmetry is necessary for thermoelectric devices …
Electronic charge reconstruction

Using a scanning transmission electron microscope with electron energy-loss spectroscopy, one can directly measure the electronic charge at each plane of a strongly correlated multilayered nanostructure. Left are experimental results by Varela et al. on YBCO/LCMO heterostructures, right is a simple theory for a correlated nanostructure.
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We employ a semiclassical treatment to handle the electronic charge reconstruction. We allow charge to be rearranged on different planes, as determined by the electrochemical potential at a given plane site, and then determine the classical Coulomb potential from planes of net charge, with dielectric constants that can vary from plane to plane.
The Coulomb potential develops a kink at locations where the dielectric constant changes (i.e. at the interfaces), and it goes to zero far from the interface due to overall conservation of charge.

As the screening length decreases, the total charge that is rearranged gets smaller for a fixed chemical potential mismatch of the bulk materials.
DOS with electronic charge reconstruction

Changing the band offsets creates particle-hole asymmetry in the DOS.
Thermal transport in a multilayered nanostructure
Heat Current Conservation

• Unlike the charge current, the heat current need not be conserved in a multilayered nanostructure.

• The experimental conditions will determine the boundary conditions for the heat current, which need to be employed to solve for the heat transport.

• We describe the Seebeck effect here.
Heat transport equations

In the presence of field and temperature gradients, the charge and heat currents satisfy:

\[ j_\alpha = e^2 \sum_\beta L^{11}_{\alpha\beta} E_\beta - e \sum_\beta L^{12}_{\alpha\beta} (T_{\beta+1} - T_{\beta-1})/2a \]

\[ j_{Q\alpha} = \sum_\beta L^{21}_{\alpha\beta} E_\beta - \sum_\beta L^{22}_{\alpha\beta} (T_{\beta+1} - T_{\beta-1})/2a \]

Where the L matrices are found from the Jonson-Mahan theorem (current and heat-current correlation functions in real space) which holds even with vertex corrections.
Seebeck effect

In the Seebeck effect, we isolate the device and work with an open circuit. Hence there is no heat created or destroyed in the steady state (i.e., the heat current is conserved) and the total charge current vanishes:

The $E$ field becomes

$$E_{\alpha} = \sum_{\beta\gamma} (L^{11})^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} L^{12}_{\beta\gamma} \frac{(T_{\gamma+1} - T_{\gamma-1})}{2a}$$

The temperature gradients become

$$\sum_{\beta} M^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} j_Q = -\frac{(T_{\alpha+1} - T_{\alpha-1})}{2a}; \quad M = -L^{21}(L^{11})^{-1}L^{12} + L^{22}$$

Hence,

$$\Delta T = -\sum_{\alpha\beta} M^{-1}_{\alpha\beta} j_Q, \quad \Delta V = -a \sum_{\alpha\beta} [(L^{11})^{-1}L^{12}M^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta} j_Q,$$

and the Seebeck coefficient is

$$S = \frac{\Delta V}{\Delta T} = a \frac{\sum_{\alpha\beta} [(L^{11})^{-1}L^{12}M^{-1}]_{\alpha\beta}}{\sum_{\alpha\beta} M^{-1}_{\alpha\beta}}$$

Note the weighting by the matrix $M$, which is different for a nanostructure than in the bulk, where that factor cancels as can be seen from the convolution theorem!
Thermal transport created from electronic charge reconstruction
Numerically we evaluate the Seebeck coefficient for two particle-hole symmetric bulk materials with an electronic charge reconstruction. The Seebeck effect can become quite large!

![Seebeck effect graph](image)
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The figure-of-merit can also become large, and is bigger than 1 for small band offsets. The phonon thermal conductance can dramatically reduce the figure-of-merit though.
Creation of a low temperature conducting channel with a Mott insulator described by the Hubbard model
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Fermi-liquid behavior

• The Hubbard model shows FL behavior in the metallic phase. When we examine a finite sandwich of a Mott insulator between two semi-infinite metallic leads, the normal state proximity effect creates a tunneling link between the metals, which implies that the renormalized hybridization for the SIAM on each plane never goes to zero.

• Hence, at $T=0$, a FL forms throughout the device, and it becomes perfectly conducting!
Quantum emergent phenomena at $T=0$

- Even though the system is inhomogeneous, at $T=0$, because the self-energy vanishes on all of the Hubbard planes, the system restores periodicity, has a well-defined Fermi surface, and has the noninteracting DOS at zero frequency.

- This state will be very fragile to finite $T$, frequency, voltage, or disorder, but for thin barriers close to the metal-insulator transition it should be possible to observe it.
Example: $N=5$ barrier with $U=16$

- The $T_F$ for each plane gets smaller as we move deeper into the barrier, so the coherence peak develops at different temperatures, which should give rise to highly nonlinear behavior in the current-voltage characteristics.

- For more details, please see Hand Zenia’s talk!
Conclusions

In this talk I have covered a number of topics in strongly correlated nanostructures. These included the following: (i) DOS and charge transport in the particle-hole symmetric case, when the barrier is tuned through the Mott transition; (ii) a description of transport, including the tunneling to Ohmic crossover, via a generalized Thouless energy; (iii) electronic charge reconstruction, and how to self-consistently determine the screened dipole layers that lead to Schottky-like barriers; (iv) thermal transport, the Seebeck effect, and the figure-of-merit; and (v) the opening of a perfect conducting channel in a metal-Mott-insulator-metal device at T=0.

The interplay between inhomogeneity and electron-electron correlations leads to interesting new phenomena, that could have wide-ranging applications. We have only begun to see where this work will ultimately lead.