Phys 506 lecture 28: An exact time-ordered product

1 Driven simple harmonic oscillator

We want to solve the time-dependent Schrödinger equation for the full Hamiltonian $\hat{H}=\hat{H}_0+\hat{V}(t)$ where

$$\hat{H}_0 = \hbar\omega \left(\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2}\right)$$
 and $\hat{V}(t) = f(t)\hat{a}^{\dagger} + f^*(t)\hat{a}$

Since $\hat{a} + \hat{a}^{\dagger} \propto \hat{x}$, $\hat{V}(t)$ acts like a driving force moving x as a function of time. Recall that $[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 1$. Then, define

$$\hat{A} = \hat{a} + \frac{f(t)}{\hbar\omega}$$

One can easily verify that

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{\dagger}] = [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] = 1$$

Then,

$$\hbar\omega\left(\hat{A}^{\dagger}\hat{A}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\hbar\omega\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}+\hat{a}^{\dagger}\frac{f(t)}{\hbar\omega}+\hat{a}\frac{f^{*}(t)}{\hbar\omega}+\frac{|f(t)|^{2}}{(\hbar\omega)^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\right)=\hat{H}(t)+\frac{|f(t)|^{2}}{\hbar\omega}$$

So,

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hbar\omega \left(\hat{A}^{\dagger} \hat{A} + \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{|f(t)|^2}{\hbar\omega}$$

We define the following:

$$\hat{A}|0\rangle = 0, \quad |n\rangle = \frac{(\hat{A}^{\dagger})^n}{\sqrt{n!}}|0\rangle$$

Then,

$$\hat{H}|n\rangle = E_n(t)|n\rangle = \left[\hbar\omega\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) - \frac{|f(t)|^2}{\hbar\omega}\right]|n\rangle$$

Expand $|\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_{n} c_n(t) |n\rangle$.

$$i\hbar \; \partial_t \left| \psi(t) \right\rangle = \sum_n i\hbar \left[\partial_t c_n(t) \left| n \right\rangle + c_n(t) \partial_t \left| n \right\rangle \right] = \hat{H} \left| \psi(t) \right\rangle = \sum_n c_n(t) E_n(t) \left| n \right\rangle.$$

Multiply by $\langle m|$ to get

$$i\hbar \partial_t c_m(t) + i\hbar \sum_n c_n(t) \langle m|\partial_t|n\rangle = E_m(t)c_m(t)$$

So we need to calculate

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \left| n \right\rangle &= \partial_t \frac{(\hat{A}^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \left| 0 \right\rangle = \partial_t \left(\frac{\left(\hat{a}^\dagger + \frac{f^*(t)}{\hbar \omega} \right)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \right) \left| 0 \right\rangle \\ &= n \frac{\left(\hat{a}^\dagger + \frac{f^*(t)}{\hbar \omega} \right)^{n-1}}{\sqrt{n!}} \frac{df^*(t)}{dt} \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \left| 0 \right\rangle + \frac{(\hat{A}^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \partial_t \left| 0 \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\hbar \omega} \frac{df^*(t)}{dt} \left| n - 1 \right\rangle + \frac{(\hat{A}^\dagger)^n}{\sqrt{n!}} \partial_t \left| 0 \right\rangle \end{split}$$

But $\hat{A}|0\rangle = 0$ implies that $\partial_t \left(\hat{a} + \frac{f(t)}{\hbar\omega}\right)|0\rangle = 0$, which further implies that

$$\frac{df}{dt}\frac{1}{\hbar\omega}|0\rangle + \left(\hat{a} + \frac{f(t)}{\hbar\omega}\right)\partial_t|0\rangle = 0.$$

Multiply by $\langle m|$ to get

$$\frac{df}{dt} \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \delta_{m0} + \left\langle m \middle| \hat{A} \partial_t \middle| 0 \right\rangle = 0$$

$$\implies \sqrt{m+1} \left\langle m+1 \middle| \partial_t \middle| 0 \right\rangle = -\frac{df}{dt} \frac{1}{\hbar \omega} \delta_{m0}$$

so,

$$\langle m|\partial_t|0\rangle = -\frac{df}{dt}\frac{1}{\hbar\omega}\delta_{m1}$$

$$\implies \partial_t|n\rangle = \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\hbar\omega}\frac{df^*}{dt}|n-1\rangle - \frac{\sqrt{n+1}}{\hbar\omega}\frac{df}{dt}|n+1\rangle.$$

Substituting back into the Schrödinger equation,

$$i\hbar \partial_t c_m(t) + i\hbar \frac{\sqrt{m+1}}{\hbar \omega} \frac{df^*}{dt} c_{m+1}(t) - \frac{i\hbar \sqrt{m}}{\hbar \omega} \frac{df}{dt} c_{m-1}(t) = E_m(t) c_m(t)$$

So we have,

$$\frac{dc_m(t)}{dt} = -\frac{i}{\hbar}E_m(t)c_m(t) - \frac{\sqrt{m+1}}{\hbar\omega}\frac{df^*}{dt}c_{m+1}(t) + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{\hbar\omega}\frac{df}{dt}c_{m-1}(t)$$

This equation is a complicated coupled linear differential equation with no obvious solution when $f \neq 0$. So, this attempt at a solution does not work.

2 Interaction representation

Let's examine instead with the interaction representation picture.

$$|\psi_S(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}_0 t} T \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t dt' \hat{V}_I(t')\right) |\psi_S(0)\rangle$$

where

$$\hat{V}_I(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}_0 t} \hat{V}(t) e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{H}_0 t}.$$

Note that V_I is different from V, Not recognizing this is a common mistake and will lead to errors. In our case, we have

$$\hat{V}_I(t) = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hbar\omega\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}t}[f\hat{a}^{\dagger} + f^*\hat{a}]e^{-i\omega\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}t}.$$

But $e^{i\lambda \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}}\hat{a}^{\dagger}e^{-i\lambda \hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}}\equiv g(\lambda)$ so

$$ie^{i\lambda\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}}[\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a},\hat{a}^{\dagger}]e^{-i\lambda\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a}} = \frac{dg(\lambda)}{d\lambda}$$

$$ig(\lambda) = \frac{dg(\lambda)}{d\lambda} \implies g(\lambda) = g(0)e^{i\lambda}.$$

So,

$$\hat{V}_I(t) = f(t)e^{i\omega t}\hat{a}^{\dagger} + f^*(t)e^{-i\omega t}\hat{a}$$

It could also be evaluated in our standard way to evaluate Hadamards as before, yielding the same result. It is useful to note that

$$\begin{split} [\hat{V}_I(t), \hat{V}_I(t')] &= f(t) f^*(t') e^{i\omega t} e^{-i\omega t'} [\hat{a}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}] + f^*(t) f(t') e^{-i\omega t} e^{i\omega t'} [\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}] \\ &= -2i \operatorname{Im} \left(f(t) f^*(t') e^{i\omega (t-t')} \right), \end{split}$$

which is just a number. So, $[\hat{V}_I(t), \hat{V}_I(t')]$ commutes with all operators.

To be concrete, choose $f(t) = Ce^{i\Omega t}$ with $C \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$\hat{V}_I(t) = C(e^{i(\omega+\Omega)t}\hat{a}^{\dagger} + e^{-i(\omega+\Omega)t}\hat{a})$$

and

$$[\hat{V}_I(t), \hat{V}_I(t')] = -2iC^2 \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\Omega}(t - t')e^{i\omega(t - t')}) = -2iC^2 \sin((\omega + \Omega)(t - t')).$$

Gottfried says to consider

$$\hat{W}(t) = \int_0^t \hat{V}_I(t') dt' = \frac{C}{i(\omega + \Omega)} (e^{i(\Omega + \omega)t} - 1)\hat{a}^{\dagger} - \frac{C}{i(\omega + \Omega)} (e^{-i(\Omega + \omega)t} - 1)\hat{a}$$

Then,

$$[\hat{W}(t), \hat{V}_{I}(t)] = \frac{C^{2}}{i(\omega + \Omega)} (1 - e^{-i(\omega + \Omega)t})(-1) - \frac{C^{2}}{i(\omega + \Omega)} (1 - e^{i(\omega + \Omega)t})$$
$$= -\frac{2C^{2}}{i(\omega + \Omega)} (1 - \cos(\omega + \Omega)t),$$

which is just a number again. Now make the unitary transformation $|\psi_I(t)\rangle=e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)}\,|\psi_{II}(t)\rangle.$ But

$$\left[i\hbar \,\partial_t - \hat{V}_I(t)\right] |\psi_I(t)\rangle = 0$$

So we have

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)} \left[i\hbar \,\partial_t - \hat{V}_I(t) \right] e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)} \left| \psi_I(t) \right\rangle = 0$$

Hence,

$$e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)} \left[i\hbar \; \partial_t - \hat{V}_I(t) \right] e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)} \left| \psi_{II}(t) \right\rangle = 0$$

Since $\hat{W}(t)$ does not commute with $\hat{V}_I(t)$, we cannot easily evaluate the derivative term. So let us expand the exponentials in a power series and then differentiate.

3 Computing the time-ordered product directly

First, operate the derivative on the RHS.

$$\begin{split} \left(1+\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{W}^2+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^3\hat{W}^3+\cdots\right)\left[i\hbar\,\partial_t-\hat{V}_I\right]\left(1-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{W}^2+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^3\hat{W}^3+\cdots\right)\\ &=\left(1+\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^2\hat{W}^2+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^3\hat{W}^3+\cdots\right)\left\{i\hbar\,\partial_t-\hat{V}_I-i\hbar\left(i\hbar\hat{W}+\hat{W}i\hbar\,\partial_t-\hat{V}_I\hat{W}\right)\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^2\left[i\hbar\hat{W}\hat{W}+i\hbar\hat{W}\hat{W}+\hat{W}^2i\hbar\,\partial_t-\hat{V}_I\hat{W}^2\right]\right.\\ &\left.+\frac{1}{3!}\left(\frac{-i}{\hbar}\right)^3\left[i\hbar\hat{W}\hat{W}^2+i\hbar\hat{W}\hat{W}\hat{W}+i\hbar\hat{W}^2\hat{W}+i\hbar\hat{W}^2\hat{W}+\hat{W}^3i\hbar\,\partial_t-\hat{V}_I\hat{W}^3\right]+\cdots\right\} \end{split}$$

$$= i\hbar \,\partial_{t} - \hat{V}_{I} + \dot{\hat{W}} + \hat{W}\partial_{t} + \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{V}_{I}\hat{W} - \hat{W}\partial_{t} - \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}\hat{V}_{I} - \frac{1}{2}\frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\dot{\hat{W}}\hat{W} + \hat{W}\dot{\hat{W}} + \hat{W}^{2}\partial_{t} - \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{V}_{I}\hat{W}^{2}\right)$$

$$+ \frac{i}{\hbar}\left(\hat{W}\dot{\hat{W}} + \hat{W}^{2}\partial_{t} + \frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}\hat{V}_{I}\hat{W}\right) - \frac{1}{2}\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}^{2}\partial_{t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\hbar^{2}}\hat{W}^{2}\hat{V}_{I} + \frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^{2}\left(\dot{\hat{W}}\hat{W}^{2} + \hat{W}\dot{\hat{W}}\hat{W} + \hat{W}^{2}\dot{\hat{W}}\right)$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^{2}\left(\hat{W}\dot{\hat{W}}\hat{W} + \hat{W}^{2}\dot{\hat{W}}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)^{2}\left(\hat{W}^{2}\dot{\hat{W}}\right) + \cdots$$

But $\dot{\hat{W}} = \hat{V}_I(t)$ so we get

$$\begin{split} &= i\hbar \; \partial_t - \hat{V}_I + \hat{V}_I - \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] - \frac{i}{2\hbar} \left(\hat{V}_I \hat{W} + \hat{W} \hat{V}_I - 2 \hat{W} \hat{V}_I \right) + \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \hat{V}_I \hat{W}^2 - \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \hat{W} \hat{V}_I \hat{W} + \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \hat{W}^2 \hat{V}_I \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{6\hbar^2} \left(\hat{V}_I \hat{W}^2 + \hat{W} \hat{V}_I \hat{W} + \hat{W}^2 \hat{V}_I \right) + \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \left(\hat{W} \hat{V}_I \hat{W} + \hat{W}^2 \hat{V}_I \right) - \frac{1}{2\hbar^2} \hat{W}^2 \hat{V}_I + \cdots \\ &\quad = i\hbar \; \partial_t - \frac{i}{2\hbar} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] + \frac{1}{\hbar^2} \left(\frac{1}{3} \hat{V}_I \hat{W}^2 - \frac{2}{3} \hat{W} \hat{V}_I \hat{W} + \frac{1}{3} \hat{W}^2 \hat{V}_I \right) + \cdots \\ &\quad = i\hbar \; \partial_t - \frac{i}{2\hbar} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] + \frac{1}{3\hbar^2} \left(-[\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] \hat{W} + \hat{W} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] \right) + \cdots \\ &\quad = i\hbar \; \partial_t - \frac{i}{2\hbar} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] + \frac{1}{3\hbar^2} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] + \frac{1}{3\hbar^2} [\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] + \cdots \end{split}$$

All higher-order terms are multiple commutators, but $[\hat{W},\hat{V}_I]$ commutes with everything so

$$= i\hbar \,\partial_t - \frac{i}{2\hbar}[\hat{W}, \hat{V}_I] = i\hbar \,\partial_t + \frac{C^2}{\hbar(\omega + \Omega)}(1 - \cos{(\Omega + \omega)t}).$$

So

$$\left[i\hbar \,\partial_t + \frac{C^2}{\hbar(\omega + \Omega)} (1 - \cos(\Omega + \omega)t)\right] |\psi_{II}(t)\rangle = 0$$

$$\implies |\psi_{II}(t)\rangle = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \frac{C^2}{\hbar(\omega + \Omega)} (1 - \cos(\Omega + \omega)t) \,dt'} |\psi_{II}(0)\rangle$$

$$= e^{\frac{iC^2}{\hbar^2(\omega + \Omega)} \left(t - \frac{\sin(\omega + \Omega)t}{\omega + \Omega}\right)} |\psi_{II}(0)\rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi_{I}(t)\rangle &= e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}\hat{W}(t)} |\psi_{II}(t)\rangle \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{C}{\hbar(\omega+\Omega)} (e^{i(\omega+\Omega)t} - 1)\hat{a}^{\dagger} + \frac{C}{\hbar(\omega+\Omega)} (e^{-i(\omega+\Omega)t} - 1)\hat{a}\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(\frac{iC^{2}}{\hbar^{2}(\omega+\Omega)} (t - \frac{\sin(\omega+\Omega)t}{\omega+\Omega})\right) |\psi_{II}(0)\rangle \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\psi_S(t)\rangle = e^{-i\omega t(\hat{a}^{\dagger}\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2})} |\psi_I(t)\rangle$$

To check, we could compute overlaps with states $\langle m|$ and verify the differential equation for $c_m(t)$ holds, but I won't do that.

In summary, when $[\hat{V}_I(t),\hat{V}_I(t')]$ is a number, the time-ordered product simplifies. In particular, we have

$$T \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \hat{V}_I(t') dt') = T \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} C \int_0^t \left[e^{i(\omega + \Omega)t'} \hat{a}^\dagger + e^{-i(\omega + \Omega)t'} \hat{a} \right] dt' \right)$$

$$= \exp\left(-\frac{C}{\hbar(\omega + \Omega)} (e^{i(\omega + \Omega)t} - 1)\hat{a}^\dagger + \frac{C}{\hbar(\omega + \Omega)} (e^{-i(\omega + \Omega)t} - 1)\hat{a} \right) \exp\left(\frac{iC^2}{\hbar^2(\omega + \Omega)} (t - \frac{\sin(\omega + \Omega)t}{\omega + \Omega}) \right)$$

for this case.